# Trump Taj Mahal Hotel

Atlantic City, New Jersey



# Technical Report Number One Investigation of the Structural System and Existing Conditions

Prepared By: Stephen Reichwein, Structural

Faculty Advisor:Dr. Andres LepageThe Pennsylvania State UniversityDepartment of Architectural Engineering

Date: October 5, 2007

## Executive Summary

The proceeding report is a technical description of structural concepts and existing conditions for the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel, currently being constructed in Atlantic City, New Jersey. The first technical section of the report provides a listing of structural codes and material strengths that The Harman Group, the structural engineers who designed the structure of the building, has specified in their design. These sections are followed by detailed descriptions of the structural systems of the tower. Descriptions of the foundation system, columns, floor systems, miscellaneous framing, and lateral force resisting systems are included with various diagrams, plans and illustrations to aid explanations. The next section provides a detailed analysis of gravity (including self weight), snow, wind, and seismic loads per ASCE 7-05 and IBC 2006. Calculations of these loads can be found in the appendix of the report. The final section provides commentary on the spot checks performed on one of the shear walls (Level 23), the filigree flat slab system, an in-slab beam, and a gravity column. All analyses calculations can be found in the appendix of this report.

# Table of Contents

I. Introduction	Page 3
II. Codes	Page 4
III. Material Strengths	Page 5
IV. Structural Systems	Page 6
V. Load Analysis	Page 12
VI. Structural Analyses	Page 18
Appendix 1 – Typical Filigree Floor Slab Piece Drawing	Page 20
Appendix 2 – Typical Elevator Shaft Framing Plan	Page 21
Appendix 3 – Shear Wall Elevations	Page 22
Appendix 4 – Self Weight Calculations	Page 23
Appendix 5 – Roof Snow Load Calculations	Page 27
Appendix 6 – Wind Load Calculations	Page 28
Appendix 7 – Seismic Load Calculations	Page 31
Appendix 8 – Shear Wall Spot Check Calculations	Page 32
Appendix 9 – Filigree Floor Slab Spot Check Calculations	Page 40
Appendix 10 – In-Slab Beam Spot Check Calculations	Page 44
Appendix 11 – Column Spot Check Calculations	Page 45

## I. Introduction

Atlantic City is known as the "Las Vegas" of the east coast. It is home to some of the largest and finest hotels, resorts, and casinos, as well as one of the largest boardwalks in the world. Donald Trump came to Atlantic City with a vision to create one of the world's finest casinos along with Atlantic City's most luxurious hotels. At the 900 block of the Atlantic City boardwalk in 1990, Trump unveiled the first Taj Mahal Hotel, unprecedented in craftsmanship and opulence. Its stern use of iconic architecture, rich with lights and signage, matches that of the rest of Atlantic City.

The Trump Taj Mahal Hotel Tower at 1000 Boardwalk resembles a powerful type of iconic architecture, signifying the power and wealth of Donald Trump along with the luxury you can expect from such a hotel. Such iconic characteristics that are clearly expressed on the building include large, bold signage (Both the Taj Mahal running down the east and west sides of the building and Trump across the top of the building.), a unique and pure geometric plan that rivals its neighboring predecessor, and it's overwhelming height as compared to the neighboring buildings along the ocean front skyline. The facade of the building is constructed with mostly modern materials, comprised of a reflective glass curtain wall, metal panels, and architectural pre-cast concrete panels.

The new Taj Mahal Hotel will serve as an expansion to its older and neighboring hotel tower that was built in the early 1990s. It will provide an additional 786 guest suites, ranging from spacious single rooms to deluxe 3 bay super suites. The tower will have 732,000 square feet of usable space and will soar 435 feet, 40 stories, into the air, making it an icon in the view of the Atlantic City skyline.

## II. Codes

The following codes were referenced in the design of the structural system of the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel. The same codes and references for gravity, wind, and seismic loads have been used for the load analysis portion of this report.

#### **Building Code:**

New Jersey State Uniform Construction Code (IBC 2000)

#### Loads:

Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE 7-02 American Society of Civil Engineers Comment: Standards of ASCE 7-02/7-05 are referenced by IBC

#### Structural Concrete:

ACI 318-02 – Requirements for Structural Concrete American Concrete Institute

*Manual of Standard Practice, 27<sup>th</sup> Edition, March 2002* Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute

## **Structural Steel:**

*Steel Construction Manual, 13<sup>th</sup> Edition* American Institute of Steel Construction

*Detailing for Steel Construction* American Institute of Steel Construction

#### Welding:

Structural Welding Code – Steel, AWS D1.1-2002

Structural Welding Code – Reinforcing Steel, AWS D1.4-1998

#### **Metal Decking:**

Design Manual for Floor Decks and Roof Decks Steel Deck Institute

# III. Material Strengths

The following tables list the design strengths and properties of various building materials, as specified by the structural engineering consultant.

Location		f'c @ 28 Days	Unit Wt.		
		(PSI)	(PCF)		
Mat Fo	oundation	5000	145		
Торр	ing Slabs	3000	145		
Normal Wt. Sla	abs on Metal Deck	3500	145		
Slabs	on Grade	4000	145		
Walls (Other 1	han Shear Walls)	4000	145		
Framed Sla	bs and Beams	5000	145		
Columns:	Below Level 12	9000*	145		
	Levels 12 to 23	7000*	145		
	Above Level 23	5000	145		
Shear Walls:	Below Level 12	9000*	145		
	Levels 12 to 23	7000*	145		
	Above Level 23	5000	145		

Concrete	Com	nressive	Strenat	hs
	voin		Jucingi	115

\* Indicates 56 – Day Strength

Deformed Reinforcing Bars	
#10 and Smaller	ASTM A615, Grade 60
#11 and Larger	ASTM A615, Grade 75
Weldable Deformed Reinf Bars	ASTM A706
Welded Wire Fabric (WWF)	ASTM A185
Seven-Wire Stress Relieved Prestressing Strands	ASTM A416, Grade 270
Epoxy Coated Reinf Bars	ASTM A775
Reinforcing Steel Mechanical Splice Couplers	Lenton Splice Couplers or Approved Equal
Doweling Adhesive for Anchoring Reinf Bars into Existing	Hilti System or Powers Acrylic 100 System
Concrete	

## Structural Steel

W Shapes	ASTM A992	Fy=50ksi	
Channels, Angles, Plates and Bars	ASTM A36	Fy=36ksi	
Round Pipe	ASTM A53 Grade E or S	Fy=35ksi	
Square and Rectangular HSS	ASTM A500, Grade B	Fy=46ksi	
High Strength Bolts	ASTM A325 Typ. UNO and ASTM	A490 Where Indicated	
Anchor Rods	ASTM F1554 Grade 55 w/ Section SI Weldability		
	Supplement or Grade 105 (	Where Indicated)	
Round Rods and Threaded Rods	ASTM A36		
Headed Shear Studs	ASTM A108		
Expansion Bolts	Powers "Power-Stud" or Hilti "Kwik Bolt"		
Adhesive Anchors	Powers "Acrylic-100" System or Hilti "Hit Hy 150" System		
Wedge-Bolts	Powers "Wedge-Bolt"		
Sleeve Anchors	Powers "Lok/Bolt" or Hilt "Sleeve Anchor"		
Galvanized Metal Deck	ASTM A653, Grade 40 (Fy=40ksi)		

## **IV. Structural Systems**

The proceeding section contains detailed descriptions of the various structural systems that have been incorporated into the design of the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel. Descriptions of the foundation system, columns, floor systems, miscellaneous systems, and lateral system are provided and follow in that respective order. Figure 1 provides an illustration of the framing plan of a typical level of the tower.



Figure 1: Typical Framing Plan

## **Foundation System**

The foundation system of the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel is comprised of a mat foundation, as recommended by the geotechnical report. The perimeter of the mat foundation is 6'-0" thick, the center 9'-0" thick. #11 bars at 10" each way, top and bottom are provided for the 9'-0" section and #11 at 15" each way, top and bottom are provided for the 6'-0" section. Additional reinforcing is provided around openings and columns. The mat foundation acts as the floor system of level one, no topping slab provided.



Figure 2: Typical Section at Mat Foundation

## Columns

Square, rectangular, and round reinforced concrete columns are used throughout the hotel tower, with a wide range of sizes and reinforcing arrangements. Figure 3 provides a typical detail that illustrates the tie arrangements, vertical reinforcing steel arrangements, and dimensions of the columns that are found throughout the tower. Specified compressive strength of concrete used for the columns varies by level, generally higher at lower levels. See Section III (Material Strengths) for details.



Figure 3: Detail of Typical Column Types

## **Floor Systems**

Two types of floor systems are used on a typical level of the hotel tower. A one-way prestressed filigree flat plate system is utilized in the areas outside of the central elevator core. Inside of the core, a conventionally reinforced flat plate system is utilized. 5000psi is the specified compressive strength of both systems.

A filigree flat plate floor slab acts as a composite system, utilizing both pre-cast and cast-inplace components. 8'-0" wide 2 ¼" thick pre-stressed planks form the base of the system. Foam voids are cast on top of the planks, lowering the dead weight of the system. However, some floors of the tower with higher loads may have solid slabs instead of voided slabs. A layer of concrete is poured on top of the planks and 2 ¼" on top of the voids, if present. 10x10 W4xW4 Welded Wire Fabric is used as temperature reinforcing for the cast –in-place concrete.

The loads of the filigree flat slab are transferred to the columns via 8'-0" wide conventionally reinforced in-slab beams that run  $32'-0" \times 16'-0"$  bays, typically. The filigree flat slabs are connected to the in-slab beams by reinforcing dowels, typically #7 bars on the top layer. The base of the beams are formed using the filigree planks, however the prestressed tendons are not utilized in the design strength of the beam.

Please note, because this particular type of filigree system is proprietary to Mid-State Filigree, construction documents issued by the structural engineering consultant only indicate design moments. Reinforcing of the filigree flat slab system can be found on shop drawings issued by the filigree contractor (See Appendix 1).



Figure 4: Filigree Flat Plate System



Figure 5: Filigree Construction Photo

## Filigree Flat Slab System (Non-Core)

Level Number	Solid or Voided	Total Depth (inches)
2, 3	Voided	12
4	Solid	10
5 thru 39	Voided	10
40	Solid	12
41	Solid	10

The proceeding diagram describes the various filigree flat slabs, by level number.

## Conventionally Reinforced Flat Plate System (Core)

The proceeding diagram describes the various conventionally reinforced flat plate slabs, by level number.

Level	Reinforcing	Thickness (inches)
2, 3	#6 @ 12" Bottom, Each Way	12
4	#7 @ 12" Bottom, Each Way	10
5 thru 39	#6 @ 12" Bottom, Each Way	10
40	#6 @ 12" Bottom, Each Way	12
41	#7 @ 12" Bottom, Each Way	10

## **Miscellaneous Framing**

#### Level 3 – Catwalk

A catwalk that houses mostly MEP equipment above level 3 that encompasses the elevator core of the tower is framed using W shape beams. This steel framing is supported by both the concrete shear walls and concrete columns. The steel beams are connected to the concrete using embed plates with shear studs. 2" of bar grating serves as a floor for the catwalk.

## Sign Support Framing (Level 41 to Top of Sign)

The Trump sign at the top of the hotel tower is supported by HSS girts, supporting the maximum sign weight of 550plf. Two lines of columns, typically W14x61, post up from the concrete floor system of the 41<sup>st</sup> level, forming the perimeter lines of the system. Another line of columns, typically W24x68, posts up at the center of the original two lines from transfer girders, making three column lines. W16x67 and W24x68 are the typical girder sizes. There are a total of 7 bays, varying in span length.



Figure 6: Typical Framing Plan at Sign Support

## Elevator Separator/Support Framing

Elevator shafts are separated using a rectangular grid of HSS beams. The HSS beams are also used to resist the thrust force produced by the elevator systems. These beams tie to both the two-way slab floor system and the concrete columns by connecting to embed plates. See Appendix 2 for typical elevator separator beam framing plan.

## **Connection Bridge**

The bridge that connects the existing hotel to the new hotel is framed using a composite steel system with slab on metal deck. The system frames into the vertical elements of the existing hotel tower and two W shape columns outside the perimeter of the new hotel. An expansion joint between the floor slab of the bridge and the concrete slab of the new hotel separates the two systems.

## Lateral Systems

The primary lateral force resisting system of the hotel tower is comprised of four shear walls, encompassing the elevator core at the geometric center of the tower's plan. A series of braced frames are used to stiffen the sign support structure at the top of the tower.

## **Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls**

Four shear walls, spanning to level 41, are the primary lateral force resisting system of the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel. Two 60' long walls resist the forces in the east/west direction, as well as the north/south direction. These four walls





form the elevator core that lies in the geometric center of the tower. Because of the symmetry of both the plan of the building and the shear wall core, it is highly unlikely that torsion will control the design of the shear walls.

The shear walls decrease in thickness, 24" from levels 1 through 4 and 16" from levels 4 through 41. Because numerous openings exist, link (coupling) beams provide load transfer across the openings. Specified compressive strength of the concrete used for the shear walls varies by level (See Section III, Material Strengths). A detailed elevation of each shear wall is provided in Appendix 3.

## **Braced Frames**

Because the framing system supporting the large sign at the top of the tower is long and narrow, lateral bracing is needed to stiffen the system against strong wind forces. In the short (north/south) direction, seven X braced frames with single angle diagonals and one single strut braced frame with double angle diagonals.

The long (east/west) direction does not require much lateral stiffening because of its depth. Only two X braced frames with single angle diagonals are provided.

The loads of these braced frames are transferred to the concrete floor system on the 41<sup>st</sup> level below. The concrete floor system acts as a rigid diaphragm, transferring the loads to the concrete shear walls.







Figure 8: Braced Frame 1 Figure 9: Braced Frame 2 Figure 10: Braced Frame 3

Note: For location of braced frames, see Figure 6 in the sign support section of this report

## V. Load Analysis

## **Gravity Loads**

Self weights of building structural elements were tabulated (See Appendix 4) and are relatively close to the weights listed on The Harman Group's load maps. 145pcf, as specified by the structural engineer, was used for the unit weight of concrete. A 25% reduction (rule of thumb per Mid-State Filigree) was used on the self weight calculation of voided filigree slabs. 24" x 24" dimensions were assumed for every column for simplicity. Results of these calculations are provided in the following table.

Level 2	12" Voided Slab:	110psf
	12" Two-Way Slab:	145psf
	Shear Walls:	905kips
	Columns:	240kips
Level 3	12" Voided Slab:	110psf
	14" Two-Way Slab:	170psf
	Shear Walls:	1,600kips
	Columns:	427kips
Level 4	10" Solid Filigree Slab:	120psf
	10" Two-Way Slab:	120psf
	Shear Walls:	1500kips
	Columns:	423kips
Levels 5 – 39	10" Voided Slab:	90psf
	10" Two-Way Slab:	120psf
	Shear Walls:	125kips
	Columns:	178kips
Level 40	12" Solid Slab:	145psf
	12" Two-Way Slab:	145psf
	Shear Walls:	980kips
	Columns:	260kips
Level 41	10" Solid Slab:	120psf
	10" Two-Way Slab:	120psf
	Shear Walls:	730kips
	Columns:	195kips

#### Self Weight Loads

Superimposed dead loads for the tower are taken directly from the load maps provided by the structural engineer's drawings. Snow loads were calculated using ASCE 7-05 (See Appendix 5). Live loads are taken directly from Table 4-1 of ASCE 7-05. A summary is provided in the following table.

Level	Superimposed Dead Lo	bosed Dead Load		bad	Live Load Reduction
1	Partitions <sup>.</sup>		100psf		Not Applicable
	15psf		i cop		
2	Non-Core		Non – Core:	150psf	4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way
	Suspended Ceiling: 10	Opsf			Slabs
	Suspended MEP:		Core:	100psf	
	10psf				
	Floor Finishes:				
	10psf				
	Core	_			
	Suspended Ceiling: 10	Opsf			
	Suspended MEP:				
	10psf				
	Floor Finishes:				
2	TUpst		New Care	150m of	A O E Limitations on One Way
3	Non-Core	- nof	Non-Core:	150psi	4.8.5 Limitations on One-way
	Suspended MED:	pha	Coro	100ncf	SIGDS
	10psf		core.	roopsi	
	Floor Finishes				
	5nsf				
	Topping Slab				
	10psf				
	Core				
	Suspended Ceiling:				
	5psf				
	Suspended MEP:				
	10psf				
	Floor Finishes:				
	5psf				
	Topping Slab:				
	10psf				
4	Non-Core & Core		40ps	f	4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way
	Partitions:				Slabs
	15psf				
	Suspended MEP:				
	Ibpst		40	<i>c</i>	
5	Non-Core & Core		40ps	5T	4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way
Inru	Partitions:				SIADS
38	ISPST				

Superimposed Dead Loads and Live Loads (Including Reduction if Applicable)

39	Non-Core		40psf	4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way	
	Partitions:			Slads	
	15psf				
	Floor Finishes:				
	10pst				
	Core				
	Partitions:				
	15psf				
40	Non-Roof	MEP:	150psf	4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way	
	Suspended MEP		-	Slabs	
	30psf	Roof:	20psf	4.9.1 Flat, Pitched and Curved	
				Roofs	
	Roof Snow Load				
	11.2psf				
41	Non-Roof		20psf	4.9.1 Flat, Pitched and Curved	
	Suspended MEP			Roofs	
	30psf				
	-				
	Roof Snow Load				
	11.2psf				

## Wind Loads

Wind pressures were calculated using Analytical Method II per ASCE 7-05, Main Wind Force Resisting Systems (MWFRS). A spreadsheet with calculations and parameters can be referenced in Appendix 6. In the actual analysis of the tower, the structural engineer had a wind tunnel test performed by DFA. The calculated base shear using Analytical Method II was approximately 3300kips, compared to 2000kips as determined by the wind tunnel test. A spreadsheet of the wind tunnel test results can also be found in Appendix 6.



Figure 11: Windward Pressure Distribution Note: 21psf Leeward Pressure Not Shown



Figure 12: Wind Force Distribution North/South, ASCE 7-05

#### Seismic Loads

Seismic loads for the Trump Taj Mahal were calculated using ASCE 7-05, Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure. The calculations and parameters can be found in a spreadsheet referenced in Appendix 7 of this report. The base shear for both directions was calculated to be approximately 1086kips.



Figure 13: Seismic Force Distribution, Either Direction

## **VI. Structural Analyses**

Several simplified structural analysis spot checks were preformed on various structural elements of the tower. Conclusions of each analysis follow in the proceeding section. Calculations of each spot check can be found in the appendix of this report.

#### Shear Wall

Shear wall 2 (See Shear Wall Elevations in Appendix 3), oriented in the north/south direction, was chosen for a quick spot check analysis. Because its calculated base shear was higher than that of seismic, wind governed the design of the shear wall. The wind tunnel test results were used in the design of the shear wall.

Several simplified assumptions were made for the analysis. The distribution of lateral forces to the shear walls was done using the areas of the shear wall, accounting for reductions because of openings. For the calculation of a boundary element, it will be assumed that the adjacent shear wall can be utilized.

It was found that the vertical and horizontal steel required could be placed in only one curtain. This is different than the Harman Group's design, which provides two curtains of steel. The extra curtain could be used for deflection control.

When the necessity of a boundary element was checked, it was found that a boundary element was required. The calculated required amount of steel reinforcement was almost the same as the actual design. When tension was checked, it was found that additional steel was required.

## Filigree Flat Slab

A typical 32'x16' filigree flat slab bay designed for levels 5 through 38 was analyzed for strength resistance of gravity loads. A filigree piece drawing illustrating the amount of provided reinforcement can be found in Appendix 1 for further reference.

The filigree slab was designed as a typical one-way slab system per ACI 318-05. It was assumed to be continuous over the middle support. However both ends were assumed to be pinned, meaning the in-slab beams are assumed to provide no torsional restraint against the moment from the slab.

Results yielded similar positive moment reinforcing requirements of that provided by Mid-State Filigree. It was found that fourteen 270ksi pre-stressing strands were adequate to resist the positive moment of the slab, compared to 17 that Mid-State Filigree is providing. This does however make sense because the planks are universally designed for each level. Since some of the other bays on each level have longer spans, the slabs will be subjected to higher moments.

Negative reinforcing requirements were very similar to The Harman Group's design, with just a small amount of additional reinforcement. Since it was assumed that no torsional restraint was provided by the in-slab beams at the two end spans, this would contribute to the increase in mid-span negative moments.

#### In-Slab Beam

A typical 7 ¾" x 8'-0" in-slab conventionally reinforced beam with two-spans, 16' and 18'-9", was analyzed and designed for the resistance of gravity loads. ACI 318-05 coefficients of moment distribution were used to quickly calculate the negative and positive design moments. Results were very similar to The Harman Group's design. If a complete model of the building frame were to be analyzed, results may have been closer.

#### Gravity Column

An exterior 18"x32" rectangular column at the intersection of gridlines G and 4 on level 38 was analyzed for resistance to gravity loads. Axial loads were calculated using the tributary area of the column and multiplying it by the factored dead, live, and self weights. The design moment was taken from the previous in-slab beam calculation utilizing ACI coefficients.

Once the loads were determined, a quick interaction diagram was drawn using PCA column. The loading of the column falls well within the safe region of the interaction diagram. The column appears to be over designed. This makes some sense because this exact column is used on many floors of the tower for redundancy.



## Appendix 1: Typical Filigree Floor Slab Piece Drawing



## Appendix 2: Typical Elevator Shaft Framing Plan

**Appendix 3: Shear Wall Elevations** 



# Appendix 4: Self Weight Calculations

	Architect: Project: <u>TECH No 1</u> GRAV LOPPES	_ Sheet: <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> Job No.: Date: <u>9/26/04</u> Engineer: <u>SMR</u>
<u>SELF WEIGHT CAL</u> <u>LEVEL 2</u> • 12" VOIDED S <u>12"</u> (14 12"/,	CAB $\sqrt{\frac{25\%}{FOR}} \frac{25\%}{FOR} \frac{1000}{100}$ 5 pcf)(0.75) = 109 psf USE 1	10psf
12" (145 12", · SHEAR WALL 24" (145 12", · COLUMNS [24"(24 LEVEL 3 · 12" VOID50	SLAB Spef) = <u>145 psf</u> Spef)(13')(60')(4) = <u>905 k</u> Assume 2'x2' Commun, ALLF 1'')/(144)(13')(32)(145) = 20 SLAB = <u>110ps</u> f	ies to K
• 14" TWO W <u>14"</u> (14 12"/. • SHEAR WAL <u>24"</u> (1) 12"/.	M SLAB (5pcf) = <u>170 psf</u> us (5)(60)(4)(28') = 1,600K	
· (OLUMNS (24)(24) 144	(23)(32)(145)=427K	

Architect: Project:	Sheet: <u>2</u> of <u>4</u> Job No.: Date: <u>9/26/07</u> Engineer: <u>SMR</u>
<u>LEVEL 4</u> ·10" SOLIO FILIGREE SLAB <u>10"</u> (145 pcf) = <u>120 psf</u> ·10" TWO·WAY SLAB = <u>120 psf</u> · SHEAR WALLS <u>24"</u> (18') (60') (145 pcf) (4) + <u>18"</u> (1 <u>12"/</u> , <u>12"/</u> , <u>1500</u> · COLUMUS <u>(24)(24)</u> (32)(18+4.8)(45) = 423K <u>144</u> LEVEL 5 - 39	60°)(145pcf)(4)(4.8)
<ul> <li>10" VOIDED SLAB 10" (145 pcf) (0.75) = 90 psf 12"/.</li> <li>10" Two-way scab 10" (145 pcf) = 120 psf 12"/.</li> <li>SHEAR WALLS 18" (60')(9.6')(145 pcf) = 125 K 12"/.</li> <li>Columus (24)(24)(9.6)(32)(145) = 178 K 144</li> </ul>	



Architect: Sheet: <u>4</u> of <u>4</u>
Project: Job No.:
GRAV LOADS Date:
Engineer:
FACADE
· 5 " PRECONST PANICLS (UP TO LEVEL 4)
Assume ISOPOF DENSITY
150pcf (5" 12"/1) = 62.5psf USE 63.psf
· GLASS CUETARD WALL (4-41)
Teom STRUCTURED DWGS = 15 psf APPROX
SIGN & FRAMING (TOP LEVEL)
· Assumed SIGN WT. = 20psf
· FRAMING-STEEL 7 BAYS (4 PANNELS POR BAY), 190 ft LONG TOTAL
14PICALLY WIGX67 50% INCRONSE FOR COLS & BIFS COLS & BIFS
L>70K
а. А.

## Appendix 5: Roof Snow Load Calculations

#### ROOF SNOW LOAD:

# Appendix 6: Wind Load Calculations

Project	Trump Taj Mahal - AE 481W
Engineer	Stephen Reichwein
Date	10/2/2007

Wind Pressure Per ASCE 7-05 MWFRS Procedure 2

Basic Wind Speed	114.00	mph
Importantance Factor	1.00	
Occupancy Category	II	
Exposure Category	С	
Directionality Factor (K <sub>d</sub> )	0.85	
Gust Factor (G)	0.85	
C <sub>p,windward</sub>	0.80	
C <sub>p,leeward</sub>	0.50	
K <sub>zt</sub>	1.00	

900 ft

9.5

 $K_z = 2.01 (z/z_g)^{2/\alpha}$ 

z<sub>g</sub> α

 $\mathsf{P} = 0.00256 \ x \ \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{d}} \ x \ \mathsf{G} \ x \ \mathsf{V}^2 \ x \ \mathsf{I} \ x \ (\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{z}}\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{p},\mathsf{w}} + \ \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{h}}\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{p},\mathsf{l}})$ 

				Windward	Leeward	Tributary	Perimeter	Perimeter E/W	Floor Load N/S	Floor Load
Level	Height (ft)	Kz	K <sub>h</sub>	Pressure	Pressure	Height (ft)	N/S (ft)	(ft)	(kips)	E/W (kips)
1	0.00	0.00	1.75	0	21	0.00	141.25	141.25		
2	16.00	0.86	1.75	17	21	21.00	141.25	141.25	112	112
3	26.00	0.95	1.75	18	21	23.00	141.25	141.25	128	128
4	62.00	1.14	1.75	22	21	22.79	141.25	141.25	139	139
5	71.58	1.18	1.75	23	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	59	59
6	81.17	1.21	1.75	23	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	60	60
7	90.75	1.24	1.75	24	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	61	61
8	100.33	1.27	1.75	24	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	61	61
9	109.92	1.29	1.75	25	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	62	62
10	119.50	1.31	1.75	25	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	63	63
11	129.08	1.34	1.75	26	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	63	63
12	138.67	1.36	1.75	26	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	64	64
13	148.25	1.37	1.75	26	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	64	64
14	157.83	1.39	1.75	27	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	65	65
15	167.42	1.41	1.75	27	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	65	65
16	177.00	1.43	1.75	27	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	66	66
17	186.58	1.44	1.75	28	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	66	66
18	196.17	1.46	1.75	28	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	66	66
19	205.75	1.47	1.75	28	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	67	67
20	215.33	1.49	1.75	29	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	67	67
21	224.92	1.50	1.75	29	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	68	68
22	234.50	1.51	1.75	29	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	68	68
23	244.08	1.53	1.75	29	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	68	68
24	253.67	1.54	1.75	30	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	69	69
25	263.25	1.55	1.75	30	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	69	69
26	272.83	1.56	1.75	30	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	69	69
27	282.42	1.57	1.75	30	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	70	70
28	292.00	1.59	1.75	30	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	70	70
29	301.58	1.60	1.75	31	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	70	70
30	311.17	1.61	1.75	31	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	70	70
31	320.75	1.62	1.75	31	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	71	71
32	330.33	1.63	1.75	31	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	71	71
33	339.92	1.64	1.75	31	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	71	71
34	349.50	1.65	1.75	32	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	71	71
35	359.08	1.66	1.75	32	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	72	72
36	368.67	1.67	1.75	32	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	72	72
37	378.25	1.67	1.75	32	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	72	72
38	387.83	1.68	1.75	32	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	72	72
39	397.42	1.69	1.75	33	21	9.58	141.25	141.25	73	73
40	407.00	1.70	1.75	33	21	18.71	116.25	116.25	117	117
Roof	434.83	1.72	1.75	33	21	13.92	116.25	116.25	88	88
Sign	470.83	1.75	1.75	34	21	36.00	175	25	345	49
								2	3283	2987

```
        Project
        Trump Taj Mahai - AE 481W

        Engineer
        Stephen Reichwein

        Date
        11/5/2007
```

#### Wind Loads per Wind Tunnel Test Performed by DFA

		Force N/S,	orce N/S, Force E/VV,	
		Y Direction	Direction X Direction	
Level	Height (ft)	(kips)	(kips)	SVV 2
1	0.00			
2	16.00	5.40	5,10	2.97
3	26.00	7.70	7.30	4.24
4	62.00	25.00	23.80	13.75
5	71.58	15.60	14.80	8.58
6	81.17	17.60	16.80	9.68
7	90.75	19.70	18.80	10.84
8	100.33	21.70	20.70	11.94
9	109.92	23.80	22.70	13.09
10	119.50	25.90	24.70	14.25
11	129.08	28.00	26.60	15,40
12	138.67	30.10	28.60	16.56
13	148.25	32.20	30.60	17.71
14	157.83	34.30	32.60	18.87
15	167.42	36.30	34.60	19.97
16	177.00	38.40	36.60	21.12
17	186.58	40.50	38.60	22.28
18	196.17	42.60	40.60	23.43
19	205.75	44.60	42.50	24.53
20	215.33	46.70	44.40	25.69
21	224.92	48.80	46.40	26.84
22	234.50	50.90	48.40	28.00
23	244.08	52.90	50.40	29.10
24	253.67	55.00	52.40	30.25
25	263.25	58.30	55.50	32.07
26	272.83	60.50	57.60	33.28
27	282.42	62.60	59.60	34.43
28	292.00	64.70	61.60	35.59
29	301.58	66.90	63.70	36.80
30	311.17	68.90	65.60	37.90
31	320.75	71.00	67.60	39.05
32	330.33	73.20	69.70	40.26
33	339.92	75.30	71.70	41.42
34	349.50	77.40	73.70	42.57
35	359.08	79.60	75.80	43.78
36	368.67	81.70	77.80	44.94
37	378.25	83.80	79.80	46.09
38	387.83	80.60	76.70	44.33
39	397.42	86.30	82.20	47.47
40	407.00	141.70	134.90	77.94
Roof	434.83	116.30	110.70	63.97
Sign	470.83	0.00	0.00	0.00
_		2092.50	1992.20	1150.88



## Appendix 7: Seismic Load Calculations

 Project
 Trump Taj Mahal - AE 481W

 Engineer
 Stephen Reichwein

 Date
 10/2/2007

#### Seismic Loads Per ASCE 7-05 Standard

Input	201	6
Occupancy Category	1	
Importance Factor	1.00	
Soil Site Class	D	
Seismic Design Category	В	1
Fa	1.600	
Fv	2.400	
Ss	0.191	
S <sub>1</sub>	0.061	1
S <sub>DS</sub>	0.204	1
S <sub>D1</sub>	0.0976	
R	5.0	
Ω	2.5	]
C <sub>d</sub>	4.5	
Ts	0.319	
h <sub>n</sub>	434.830	
x	0.750	1
Ct	0.020	1
Ta	1.904	1
TL	6.0	1
Cs	0.0102	1
k	1.7	
Base Shear (V <sub>b)</sub>	1085.8	kips

$$\begin{split} T_{a} &= C_{t} \times h_{n}^{X} \\ T &\leq T_{L} & min \\ C_{s} &= S_{D1} / (T (R / I)) & 0.0102 \\ C_{s} &= S_{DS} / (R / I) & 0.0408 \\ T &> T_{L} & min \\ \end{array}$$

 $C_{s} = S_{D1} \times T_{L} / (T^{2} (R / I))$  $C_{s} = S_{DS} / (R / I)$ 

 $C_{smin}$  = .01

						Self	Self	Shear Wall		Super-				
			Tributary			Weight	Weight	and Column	Super-	Imposed DL	Weight of			
	Area Non		Height of	Perimeter	Façade	Core	Non Core	Self Weight	Imposed DL	Non Core	Level	Elevation		(w <sub>x</sub> h <sub>x</sub> ^/∑w <sub>x</sub> h <sub>x</sub> ^)xV <sub>b</sub> Shear
Level	Core (sf)	Area Core (sf)	Level (ft)	(ft)	Wt. (psf)	(psf)	(psf)	(kips)	Core (psf)	(psf)	(kips)	Height (feet)	w <sub>x</sub> h <sub>x</sub> <sup>k</sup>	Per Floor (kips)
Sign	N/A	N/A	36.00	400	20	N/A	0	70	0	0	358	470.83	12524409.81	11.18
Roof	13800	N/A	13.92	465	15	N/A	120	925	N/A	30	3092	434.83	94492632.96	84.32
40	14400	3500	18.71	565	15	145	145	1240	30	30	4531	407.00	123739868.4	110.42
39	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	25	15	2404	397.42	63037935.43	56.25
38	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	387.83	59595054.84	53.18
37	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	378.25	57113357.31	50.97
36	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	368.67	54675288.16	48.79
35	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	359.08	52281184.61	46.66
34	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	349.50	49931395.49	44.56
33	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	339.92	47626281.94	42.50
32	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	330.33	45366218.21	40.48
31	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	320.75	43151592.55	38.51
30	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	311.17	40982808.12	36.57
29	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	301.58	38860284.05	34.68
28	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	292.00	36784456.64	32.83
27	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	282.42	34755780.56	31.02
26	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	272.83	32774730.34	29.25
25	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	263.25	30841801.92	27.52
24	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	253.67	28957514.42	25.84
23	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	244.08	27122412.16	24.20
22	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	234.50	25337066.88	22.61
21	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	224.92	23602080.28	21.06
20	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	215.33	21918086.94	19.56
19	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	205.75	20285757.63	18.10
18	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	196.17	18705803.12	16.69
17	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	186.58	17178978.6	15.33
16	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	177.00	15706088.87	14.02
15	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	167.42	14287994.42	12.75
14	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	157.83	12925618.59	11.53
13	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	148.25	11619956.21	10.37
12	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	138.67	10372084.04	9.26
11	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	129.08	9183173.502	8.19
10	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	119.50	8054506.508	7.19
9	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	109.92	6987495.351	6.24
8	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	100.33	5983708.208	5.34
7	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	90.75	5044902.475	4.50
6	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	81.17	4173069.39	3.72
5	14400	3500	9.58	565	15	120	90	303	15	15	2369	71.58	3370495.55	3.01
4	14400	3500	22.79	565	25.11	120	120	1923	30	30	4931	62.00	5495872.279	4.90
3	14400	3500	23.00	565	63	170	110	2027	30	30	5562	26.00	1414689.335	1.26
2	14400	3500	21.00	565	63	145	110	1145	30	30	4521	16.00	503776.6072	0.45
										Σ	105935		1216734482	1085.83

## **Appendix 8: Shear Wall Spot Check Calculations**





## Shear Wall Overturning Moment

Level	Moment Arm (ft)	Force (k)	Ovt Moment (k-ft)
41	187.91	217	40777.19
40	160.08	59	9444.92
39	150.67	37	5574.67
38	141.25	36	5085.00
37	131.83	36	4746.00
36	122.42	36	4407.00
35	113.00	36	4068.00
34	103.58	36	3729.00
33	94.17	36	3390.00
32	84.75	36	3051.00
31	75.33	36	2712.00
30	65.92	35	2307.08
29	56.50	35	1977.50
28	47.08	35	1647.92
27	37.67	35	1318.33
26	28.25	35	988.75
25	18.83	35	659.17
24	9.42	35	329.58
			96213.11

ft-kips



4

C) NOMINAL SHEAR CAPACITY Vn = Acv (de JFE + pt fy) hu = 181.16' = 3.02 > 2 : de = 2 Acu = 14" (60") (12"/.) = 11520 in2 Pe= 0.60 (12/15) = 0.0025 16(12) Vn= 11520 in2 (2 (U5000) + 0.0025 (60000)) Vn= 3357K \$Un=0.75(3357K)=2517.75K>1235K OK D) COMPRESSION BOUNDARY ELEMENT 0 20.2 fi B.E. REQ'D 0 = Mu h/2 = 125560'K (30')(144 in2/Pt2) Ig 497 ×106 in4 Ig = (60(12) in)3(16) in = 497×10 % int 0=1.09 Ksi 0.2f2 = 0.2 (5ksi) = 1.0 ≤ 1.09 ksi , B.E. REDID ADDITIONAL STEEL WILL BE PRACED WITHIN WALL AT THE ENDS

5 D (CONTINUED) GRAVITY LOADS WILL BE NEWLECTED C4= 2093K \$Pn=0.65 (0.80)[0.85] ft (Ag-Ast) + fy Ast] DEVOTE 60" OF ENDS TO B.E. Ag=48"(16")=768 in2 2093K=0.52 [0.85 (5 ksi) (768=Asr) + 60ksi Azr] 2093K= 2.21 (768-AST) + 31.2 AST 2093K = 1697 - 2.21Ast + 31.2 Ast 396 = 29 Ast : Ast = 13.7 in2 USE #115 A=1.56 in 2 n= 13,7 in² = 8.8 = 10 ISARS (5) @ EACH FACE 1150 in 2 (4)#10 11, (6) #11 ACTUAL DESIGN (10) # 11 CONCLUSION: UDRY SIMILAR

6 E) TENSILE STRENGTH Asr= (0.60in2/15") (30')(12"/.) + 10(1.56) AST : 30 in2 \$Th= Tu= 2093 K = 0.90 (30in=)(60)=1620K " ADD' STEEL RED'D 2093K = 0,90 AST 60 AST= 38,8 in2 AADDL = 38.8-30 = 8,8 in2 8.8 = 5.6 ~ 6 BARS ADD AN MODE (3) #11 @ EACH FACE



## Appendix 9: Filigree Slab Spot Check Calculations



2 DETERMINE PRESTEESSING REQUIREMENTS B POSITIVE MOMENT RESISTANCE Mu= 14.41 k-ft/ft fy= 180000 psi d=10" - 2.25" = 8.9" \$Mn= Mu = 0.9 As fy (d - Asfy ) 0.85 Fib & 8'-0" RANKS ARE 8'-O" WIDE Mu= 14.41(8) K-ft = 0.9(180) As (18.9 = 180(As) 12 0.85(5)(8)(12) 8.54 = 8.125 As -0.44 As2 0.44 As2 - 8,125 As + 8.54 =0 As= 8.125 ± J 8.1252 - 4(8.54)(0.44) = 1.12in2 2(0.44) # TENDONS : 1.12in2 = 13.18 USE 14 0.085 in2/TENDON COMPARE 14 CABLES TO 14 PROVIDED ok

	3
	DETERMINE NEURTINE REINF. REGIO
0	Mu = 2515 ft-kips/pt
-	d= 8.9"
	Mu = 25.5 = 0.716 in=/ft 4d = 4(8.9)
	TRY #6 BARES AS=0.44 in2
	$\frac{0.44}{5} = \frac{0.716}{12} \qquad 5 = 7" \ 0.c.$
C.	$a = \frac{0.44(12/7)(60)}{0.85(5)(12)} = 0.89''$
	\$mn=0.90(0.44)(2/7)(60)(8.9-0.89/2)=28.7 1/4/2+
	12
	PMD = Mu ex
-	Use # G G F" OC
0	#6 @ 9" PROVIDED POR STRUCTURAL PAULS
	SUPPORTS AT BOTH ENDS WERE ASSUMED TO
	PROVIDE NO TORSIONAL RESPERINT. MOWELER, IN Provide Type Line Previous Same Drappenul
	THE DESIGN MOMENTS IN THE SPAN.
0	



## Appendix 10: In-Slab Beam Spot Check Calculations

2 ASSUME # 6 BARES A=0.44 in2/ BAR drop = 10" - 11/2" - 3/8 = 8.125" daor = 10" - 2.25" = 7.75" NEGATIVE STEEL! Mu=120'K 14 = 120 = 3.69 in2 3.69 = 8.39 19 Bues 40 4(8.125) = 3.69 in2 0,44  $a = \frac{9(0.44)(40)}{0.85(5)(8)(12)} = 0.58''$ \$mn=0.9(9)(0.44)60(8.125-0.58/2)=13.9.6" C= 0.58 =0.72" Et= 0.003(8.125-0.72) >> 0.005 0.20 0.72 0,90 1. \$=0,90 USE (9) #6 BARS -> MATCHES STRUCTURAL FAULS POSITIVE STEEZ: Mu= 1091x Mu/4d= 109/4(4,75) = 3.52 in2 3.52 in = 8 BARS 0.44in-/BAR Q=8(0,44)(60) =0,518" C=0.518 =0.647" 0,85(5)(12)(8) 0.80 \$mn= Q.90 (8)(0,44)(00) (7.75-0.518/2)=118,71K Et= 0.003(7.75-0.647) >> 0.005 1: \$=0.90 0.647 USE (8)#6 (7)the PROVIDED BY STRUCTURAL " ACCEPTABLE SPOT

# Appendix 11: Column Spot Check Calculations

	COLUMN CHECK				
0	COLUMN AT LO	ever 38; C	4 INTERESE	CTION	
	(G)#7 ··· /3	Pc=3 30" fy=0	5000 psi 10000 psi		
	K 18" X	ATEIB =	<u>32' (18.75</u> 2	<u>)' ~</u> 300 ft	•
	LEVEL	DL(psf)	LL (pif)		
	41	120	20		
. 0	40	145 22 167	20		
	39	90 25 115	40		
	38	90 15 105	40		-
	SELF WEIGHT	144 M2/142 144 M2/142 100	(47)(145) 0 161 Kip	= 25.6 K	
	Ru= [142 + 167	+115 + 105)(30	0) + 25.6](!.	2)	
0	+ [20(20]	+ 40(2)](300	>)(1.6)		
	Pu= 248K	Mu = 951K	(Freom A	CI COFFFICIEN	(zn
	and the second	SEE IN-SCA	B BM CHEE	K	

